Prenatal identification of trisomy 18 (Edwards syndrome)

Thesis submitted for the degree of PhD

Glenn Eric Palomaki
Wolfson Institute of Preventive Medicine
Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry
Queen Mary University of London

I confirm that the work presented in this thesis is my own.

Glenn Eric Palomaki

Abstract

This thesis is a critical literature review of trisomy 18 (Edwards syndrome), focused on maternal serum and ultrasound markers between 10 and 20 weeks' gestation. Based on comprehensive meta-analyses, existing findings are clarified, new knowledge has emerged, and novel statistical modeling demonstrates clinically useful algorithms to guide screening policies.

Trisomy 18 is, after Down syndrome, the autosomal aneuploidy with the highest birth prevalence, about 2.4/10,000. Only 1 in 5 live born survives to two weeks, with 1 in 20 surviving one year. Strategies for identifying trisomy 18 in early pregnancy rely on reinterpretation of markers measured as part of Down syndrome screening. Diagnosis requires collecting fetal or placental material obtained from an invasive procedure (amniocentesis or a chorionic villus sampling) and subsequent karyotyping or specific aneuploidy testing such as fluorescent in situ hybridization.

The second trimester Triple Test (serum markers alpha-fetoprotein, unconjugated estriol and human chorionic gonadotropin [hCG]) has an 81% detection rate at a 0.4% false positive rate. Adding pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) is effective; the detection rate improves to 88% while false positives are reduced to 0.1%. In the first trimester Combined Test, the serum markers (free β hCG and PAPP) in combination with unbiased estimates of ultrasound marker nuchal translucency (NT) thickness, yields detection and false positive rates of 86% and 0.2%, respectively. For these tests, hCG and free β hCG measurements are essentially interchangeable. Combining existing markers from both trimesters into a Full Integrated Test (NT, PAPP-A, and the Triple Test), also yields high performance (91% detection rate at 0.2% false positive rate). Ultrasound markers, apart from NT, are not suitable for routine practice, but some could be used in specialist centers. In the future, testing of circulating cell free nucleic acids in maternal plasma may allow for a reduction in the use of invasive procedures.

Acknowledgments

After being told by colleagues, friends and relatives to pursue an advanced degree for several decades, I must credit Professor Sir Nicholas Wald for providing the final straw that tipped the scale. At his flat in London about four years ago, he reiterated his suggestion but for the first time provided a clear path towards that goal by offering to be an advisor and approaching QMUL administration to answer key questions about a foreign student. Along with Professor Joan Morris, they provided guidance and encouragement. Of specific help was Joan's discussion with me about her non-traditional pursuit of a PhD and her always kind offers to help out with local UK tasks that would have been difficult for me to perform from the US.

On this side of the Atlantic, I was also fortunate that a majority of the monetary requirements were graciously provided by the Board of Directors of the Savjani Institute. The Chair, Jim Haddow, Esq, and members Sue Richards, Jack Canick, and Gopal Savjani were especially important in providing motivation. Within the Institute I received much verbal support from Joanne (e.g., Are you going to work on your thesis this week?), but she was also instrumental in obtaining papers and fighting tirelessly with Word and EndNote on my behalf. Others at the Institute, George Knight, Louis Neveux, Linda Bradley, Ed Kloza all went out of their way to help provide the time to complete my work. It is hard to find the words to express my thanks to Dr. James Haddow. He provided me with my first opportunities to expand my horizons more than 30 years ago and has never stopped. Without his tireless support, this never would have been possible. Lastly, I have to thank my wife Cathie, who hasn't seen me much on the weekends for the last year or so. She never complained about the costs or the time spent. To all of these individuals, and any others I have forgotten to mention, I express my sincere thanks.

Contents

Chapter 1. Historical context and original description	1
Chapter 2. Natural history of trisomy 18	4
2.1 The medical disorder: trisomy 18 and its variants	
2.2 Birth prevalence of trisomy 18	7
2.3 Recurrence risk for trisomy 18	11
2.4 Fetal loss during pregnancy	13
2.5 Complications of a trisomy 18 pregnancy	17
2.6 Survival after birth	17
2.7 Diagnostic testing for trisomy 18	25
2.8 The child with trisomy 18	27
2.9 Do we 'screen' for trisomy 18?	30
Chapter 3. Second trimester maternal serum markers for trisomy 18	31
3.1 Introduction and background	31
3.2 Second trimester AFP measurements	38
3.3 Second trimester uE3 measurements	46
3.4 Second trimester hCG measurements	50
3.5 Second trimester free β hCG	54
3.6 Second trimester inhibin-A measurements	58
3.7 Second trimester PAPP-A measurements	62
3.8 Population parameters for trisomy 18 and unaffected pregnancies	66
3.9 Modeling performance of serum markers	72
3.10 Demonstration studies using fixed MoM cut-offs	78
3.11 Demonstration studies using trisomy 18 risk	80
3.12 Conclusions	85
Chapter 4 First trimester maternal serum markers for trisomy 18	87
4.1 Introduction	87
4.2 Common first trimester serum markers	93
4.3 Other first trimester serum markers	97
4.4 Population parameters for first trimester serum markers	100
4.5. First trimester markers in trisomy 13 pregnancies	111

Chapter 5 First trimester ultrasound markers for trisomy 18	112
5.1 Introduction	112
5.2 Nuchal translucency (NT) measurements	114
5.3 Nasal bone	126
5.4 Ductus Venosus	133
5.5 Other ultrasound markers in the first trimester	140
Chapter 6. Combining first trimester markers	143
6.1 Introduction	143
6.2 Modeling test performance	143
6.3 Summary of demonstration studies	147
Chapter 7 Integrated testing for trisomy 18	152
7.1 introduction	152
7.2 Modeling serum integrated test performance	153
7.3 Modeling full integrated test performance	157
Chapter 8 Second trimester ultrasound markers for trisomy 18	159
8.1 Introduction	159
8.2 Structural anomalies	163
8.3 Non-structural Anomalies	181
8.4 Summary of second trimester ultrasound markers	222
8.5 Combining second trimester ultrasound markers	224
Chapter 9 Diagnostic testing for trisomy 18	228
9.1 Diagnostic procedures: amniocentesis	228
9.2 Diagnostic procedures: chorionic villus sampling (CVS)	231
9.3 Other invasive procedures	234
9.4 Diagnostic testing: karyotype	235
9.5 Diagnostic testing: FISH	237
9.6 Diagnostic testing: qfPCR	239
9.7 Diagnostic testing: Other methods	241
9.8 Fetal cells and free nucleic acids in maternal circulation	243
Chapter 10. Summary	255
References	271

Table of Figures

Chapter 2. Natu	ural history of trisomy 18	
Figure 2.2-1.	The birth prevalence of trisomy 18	10
Figure 2.4-1.	Survival of trisomy 18 fetuses during pregnancies	16
Figure 2.6-1.	Survival of live-born infants with trisomy 18	21
Figure 2.6-2.	Survival of live-born infants with trisomy 18, stratified by gender	23
Chapter 3. Sec	ond trimester maternal serum markers for trisomy 18	
Figure 3.1-1.	Sample calculations of an AFP multiple of the median (MoM)	33
Figure 3.1-2.	Overlapping maternal serum AFP measurements in unaffected	
	and Down syndrome pregnancies	35
Figure 3.2-1.	Maternal serum AFP measurements in trisomy 18 pregnancies	40
Figure 3.2-2.	The variance of maternal serum AFP measurements in trisomy 18	
	pregnancies by year of publication	43
Figure 3.2-3.	Maternal serum AFP measurements in trisomy 18 pregnancies	45
Figure 3.3-1.	Publications reporting maternal serum uE3 measurements in	
	trisomy 18 pregnancies	47
Figure 3.3-2.	Maternal serum uE3 measurements in trisomy 18 pregnancies	49
Figure 3.4-1.	Publications reporting maternal serum hCG measurements	
	in trisomy 18 pregnancies	51
Figure 3.4-2.	Maternal serum hCG measurements in trisomy 18 pregnancies	53
Figure 3.5-1.	Publications reporting maternal serum free β hCG measurements	
	in trisomy 18 pregnancies	55
Figure 3.5-2.	Maternal serum free β hCG measurements in trisomy 18 pregnancies	57
Figure 3.6-1.	Publications reporting maternal serum inhibin-A measurements	
	in trisomy 18 pregnancies	59
Figure 3.6-2.	Maternal serum inhibin-A measurements in trisomy 18 pregnancies	61
Figure 3.7-1.	Publications reporting maternal serum pregnancy associated	
	plasma protein A (PAPP-A) measurements in trisomy 18 pregnancies	63
Figure 3.7-2.	Maternal serum PAPP-A measurements in trisomy 18 pregnancies	65
Figure 3.8-1.	Overlapping Gaussian curves for six second trimester	
	maternal serum markers in trisomy 18 and unaffected pregnancies	68
Figure 3.9-1.	Maternal age distribution in unaffected and trisomy 18 births	73
Figure 3.9-2.	A comparison of two methods of assigning age-associated	
	term risks for trisomy 18	77

Figure 3.11-1.	Publications reporting the results of second trimester trisomy 18
	demonstration studies that used patient-specific trisomy 18 risks8
Figure 3.11-2.	The odds of being affected given a positive result (OAPR) for
	trisomy 18 screening trials suing patient-specific risks
Chapter 4 First	trimester maternal serum markers for trisomy 18
Figure 4.1-1.	Schematic description of the 'ascertainment bias' present in
	demonstration studies8
Figure 4.1-2.	Relevant publications for biochemical marker levels in 1st trimester
	pregnancies affected with trisomy 18 or trisomy 139
Figure 4.4-1.	Probability plot for PAPP-A measurements in first trimester
	trisomy 18 pregnancies from four smaller studies (33 observations) 10
Figure 4.4-2.	Probability plot for free β hCG measurements in first trimester
	trisomy 18 pregnancies from 10 smaller studies (79 observations) 10
Figure 4.4-3.	Probability plot for intact/total hCG measurements in first trimester
	trisomy 18 pregnancies from 9 smaller studies (77 observations) 10
Figure 4.4-4.	Forest plots for the first trimester maternal serum markers
	in trisomy 18 pregnancies10
Figure 4.4-5.	Overlapping Gaussian distributions in unaffected and
	trisomy 18 pregnancies11
Chapter 5 First	trimester ultrasound markers for trisomy 18
Figure 5.2-1.	Sonographic image of a late first trimester fetal head and thorax
	showing the correct measurement of nuchal translucency11
Figure 5.2-2.	Hypothetical distribution of NT measurements in trisomy 18 pregnancies
	in the <u>absence</u> of screening and selective termination11
Figure 5.2-3.	Hypothetical distribution of NT measurements in trisomy 18 pregnancies
	in the presence of screening and selective termination11
Figure 5.2-4.	The effect of accounting for bias of ascertainment
	on the NT distribution parameters for trisomy 1812
Figure 5.2-5.	The effect on the NT distribution parameters for trisomy 18 of
	including a referral population with increased NT measurements12
Figure 5.3-1.	An ultrasound image showing a fetal head in the late first trimester,
	along with the identification of the nasal bone12
Figure 5.3-2.	A summary of trisomy 18 (and trisomy 13) observations available
	to determine associations with absent nasal bone

Figure 5.4-1.	Three ductus venosus Doppler waveforms demonstrating	
	differences in the A-wave	133
Figure 5.4-2.	A ductus venosus waveform showing the components needed to	
	compute the pulsatility index for veins (PIV)	134
Figure 5.4-3.	A summary of trisomy 18 (and trisomy 13) observations available	
	to determine associations with abnormal ductus venosus flow	136
Chapter 6. Com	bining first trimester markers	
Figure 6.3-1.	Summary of publications included in the analysis of demonstration	
	studies for first trimester combined testing for trisomy 18	148
Figure 6.3-2.	Trisomy 18 detection rate and associated false positive rates from	
	eight demonstration studies of first trimester combined test	150
Chapter 7. Integ	rated testing for trisomy 18	
Figure 7.2-1.	Correlation between first trimester PAPP-A and second trimester	
	AFP, uE3 and hCG measurements from 12 trisomy 18 pregnancies	154
Chapter 8. Seco	and trimester ultrasound markers for trisomy 18	
Figure 8.2-1.	The included articles of structural anomalies among trisomy 18	
	fetuses	164
Figure 8.2.1-1.	Forest plot showing the proportion of trisomy 18 pregnancies with	
	open fetal defects	168
Figure 8.2.2-1.	Forest plot showing the proportion of trisomy 18 pregnancies with	
	a major cardiac defect	171
Figure 8.2.3-1.	Forest plot showing the proportion of trisomy 18 pregnancies with	
	hand and foot anomalies	176
Figure 8.2.4-1.	Forest plot showing the proportion of trisomy 18 pregnancies with	
	any structural anomaly	180
Figure 8.3.1-1.	Choriod plexus cyst (CPC)	182
Figure 8.3.1-2.	Sixty-one published studies regarding choroid plexus cysts (CPC)	
	and trisomy 18	186
Figure 8.3.1-3.	Relationship between the proportion of women with a CPC identified	
-	and year of publication, for studies in the general population	190
Figure 8.3.2-1.	Ultrasound measurement of nuchal skin fold (NSF) thickness	
J	· · · · ·	210

Figure 8.3.5-1.	Pyelectasis in the early second trimester	. 212
Figure 8.3.6-1.	Two vessel cord	. 215
Figure 8.3.7-1	Echogenic intra-cardiac focus (EICF)	. 218
Figure 8.5-1.	A hypothetical cohort of 17,000 women at high risk for trisomy 18 in the	ıe
	second trimester showing the effect of follow-up ultrasound testing	. 227
Chapter 9. Diag	nostic testing for trisomy 18	
Figure 9.1-1.	A diagram showing an ultrasound guided amniocentesis procedure	. 229
Figure 9.2-1.	A diagram showing an ultrasound guided	
	chorion villus sampling procedure	. 232
Figure 9.4-1.	A karyotype of a fetus with trisomy 18	. 235
Figure 9.5-1.	Two pictures of interphase cells tested with FISH probes	. 237
Figure 9.6-1.	The result of a normal STR measurement on chromosome 13	. 239
Figure 9.6-2.	Abnormal qfPCR results	. 240
Figure 9.8.2-1.	Schematic showing how the RNA SNP allelic ratio method of aneuploid	•
Figure 0.9.2.2	detection	
Figure 9.6.2-2.	Scatterplot showing the results of an RNA-based SNP allelic ratio test Down syndrome	
Figure 9.8.2-3.	Scatterplot showing the results of a DNA-based methylation-specific	
	SNP allelic ratio test for trisomy 18	. 248
Figure 9.8.2-4.	A cartoon showing the first two steps in massively parallel sequencing	of
	free DNA in the maternal circulation	. 250
Figure 9.8.2-5.	A cartoon showing the next two steps in massively parallel sequencing	of
	free DNA in the maternal circulation.	. 251
Figure 9.8.2-6.	A cartoon showing the last step in massively parallel sequencing of fre	е
	DNA in the maternal circulation	. 252
Figure 9.8.2-7.	Chromosome 21 z-scores for 28 maternal plasma samples undergoing	J
	massively parallel sequencing	. 253
Chapter 10. Sun	nmary	
Figure 10-1.	Prevalence of trisomy 18 by maternal age, at three selected times in	
	gestation	. 255
Figure 10-2.	Sequential testing for trisomy 18 in 1,000,000 singleton pregnancies in	n
	the general population	. 267
Figure 10-3.	Serum integrated testing for trisomy 18 in 1,000,000 singleton	
	pregnancies in the general population	. 268

Figure 10-4.	Second trimester testing for trisomy 18 in 1,000,000 singleton	
	pregnancies in the general population	269
Figure 10-5.	First trimester 'combined' testing for trisomy 18 in 1,000,000 singleto	n
	pregnancies in the general population	270

TABLE OF TABLES

Chapter 1. His	storical context and original description	
Table 1.1-1.	Classification of human chromosomes	1
Chapter 2. Na	itural history of trisomy 18	
Table 2.1-1.	Characteristics of infants with trisomy 18	6
Table 2.2-1.	Estimated birth prevalence of trisomy 18 in population-based cohorts	g
Table 2.3-1.	Trisomy 18 recurrence risk for women diagnosed with a	
	trisomy 18 fetus	12
Table 2.4-1.	Spontaneous loss rates for trisomy 18 pregnancies, from specified	
	gestational age to term	15
Table 2.6-1.	Summary of studies reporting survival of live-born trisomy 18 infants	19
Table 2.6-2.	Survival of live-born trisomy 18 infants stratified by gender	22
Chapter 3. Se	econd trimester maternal serum markers for trisomy 18	
Table 3.1-1.	Studies of second trimester maternal serum markers and trisomy 18	
	included for analysis	37
Table 3.2-1.	Maternal serum AFP measurements in trisomy 18	
	and unaffected pregnancies	41
Table 3.3-1.	Maternal serum uE3 measurements in trisomy 18	
	and unaffected pregnancies	48
Table 3.4-1.	Maternal serum hCG measurements in trisomy 18	
	and unaffected pregnancies	52
Table 3.5-1.	Maternal serum free β hCG measurements in trisomy 18	
	and unaffected pregnancies	56
Table 3.6-1.	Maternal serum inhibin-A measurements in trisomy 18	
	and unaffected pregnancies	60
Table 3.7-1.	Maternal serum PAPP-A measurements in trisomy 18	
	and unaffected pregnancies	64
Table 3.8-1.	Summary of logarithmic means and standard deviations (SD)	
	for six second trimester maternal serum analytes in trisomy 18	
	and unaffected pregnancies	67
Table 3.8-2.	Summary of reported correlation coefficients between second trimester	
	serum marker in trisomy 18 and in unaffected pregnancies	70
Tahla 3 0-1	Truncation limits (TL) for maternal serum markers	72

	Table 3.9-2.	Modeled trisomy 18 detection rates (DR) and false positive rates (FPR)
		using second trimester maternal serum markers79
	Table 3.9-3.	Modeled trisomy 18 detection rates (DR), false positive rates (FPR) and
		odds of being affected given a positive test result (OAPR) using second
		trimester maternal serum markers at selected risk cut-off levels76
	Table 3.11-1	. Test performance for trisomy 18 in the second trimester using maternal
		age and measurements of maternal serum AFP, uE3 and hCG
		to assign patient-specific risks8
	Table 3.11-2	. Trisomy 18 demonstration studies using patient specific risk
Cł	napter 4 Firs	st trimester maternal serum markers for trisomy 18
	Table 4.2-1.	Summary of biochemical markers for trisomy 18 (and trisomy 13) in
		the late first trimester having five or more included studies of any size 94
	Table 4.3-1.	Summary of biochemical markers for trisomy 18 (and trisomy 13) in
		the late first trimester having fewer than five included studies98
	Table 4.4-1.	Population parameters for three commonly reported first trimester
		serum markers of trisomy 18104
	Table 4.4-2.	Summary of the correlation coefficients in trisomy 18 pregnancies 10
	Table 4.4-3.	Summary of population distribution parameters for first trimester
		maternal serum markers in trisomy 18 and unaffected pregnancies 108
Cł	napter 5 Firs	st trimester ultrasound markers for trisomy 18
	Table 5.2-1.	Performance of NT measurement in identifying trisomy 18 in the
		late first trimester, according to one dataset before and after an
		unbiasing protocol was applied123
	Table 5.3-1.	Summary of published studies reporting nasal bone (NB) measurements in
		unaffected and common trisomic pregnancies between 11 and 14 weeks'
		gestation
	Table 5.4-1.	Summary of published studies reporting Ductus Venosus (DV)
		measurements in unaffected and common trisomic pregnancies between
		11 and 14 weeks' gestation13

Chapter 6. Co	mbining first trimester markers	
Table 6.2.1.	Modeled trisomy 18 detection rates (DR) and false positive rates (FPR)	
	using first trimester maternal serum markers with and without ultrasour	nd
	measurements of nuchal translucency (NT)	144
Table 6.2-2.	Modeled first trimester trisomy 18 screening performance at selected	
	trisomy 18 risk cut-off levels	146
Table 6.3.1.	Results of eight demonstration studies of combined	
	testing for trisomy 18	149
Chapter 7. Inte	grated testing for trisomy 18	
Table 7.2-1.	Serum integrated testing for trisomy 18: Modeled detection rates (DR)	
	and false positive rates (FPR)	156
Table 7.3-1.	Full integrated testing for trisomy 18: Modeled detection rates (DR)	
	and false positive rates (FPR)	158
Chapter 8. Sec	ond trimester ultrasound markers for trisomy 18	
Table 8.1-1.	Included publications for second ultrasound markers and trisomy 18,	
	excluding those for choroid plexus cysts	161
Table 8.2.1-1	. Rates of open fetal defects in trisomy 18 and control pregnancies	167
Table 8.2.2-1	. Rates of cardiac defects in trisomy 18 and control pregnancies	172
Table 8.2.3-1	. Rates of hand and foot anomalies in trisomy 18 and control	
	pregnancies	175
Table 8.2.4-1	. Rate of 'any structural anomaly' in trisomy 18 and control pregnancies	179
Table 8.3.1-1	. Choroid plexus cysts (CPCs) and trisomy 18:	
	Population based cohort studies	188
Table 8.3.1-2	2. Choroid plexus cysts (CPCs) and trisomy 18:	
	General population 'screen positive' studies	191
Table 8.3.1-3	3. Choroid plexus cysts (CPCs) and trisomy 18:	
	High risk case/control and cohort studies	194
Table 8.3.1-4	I. Choroid plexus cysts (CPCs) and trisomy 18:	
	High risk 'screen positive' studies	196
Table 8.3.1-5	5. Choroid plexus cysts (CPCs) and trisomy 18:	
	High risk positive case only studies	198
Table 8.3.2-1	Nuchal skin fold thickness (NSF) and trisomy 18	
	Fetal long bone (humeral) measurements and trisomy 18	
	2. Fetal long bone (femur) measurements and trisomy 18 18	

l able 8.3.4	-1. Hyperechoic bowel and trisomy 18	211
Table 8.3.5	i-1. Pyelectasis and trisomy 18	214
Table 8.3.6	3-1. Two-vessel cord and trisomy 18	217
Table 8.3.7	'-1. Echogenic intra-cardiac focus (EICF) and trisomy 18	220
Table 8.4-1	. Summary of association of second trimester ultrasound markers	with
	trisomy 18	223
Chapter 9. Co	mbining first trimester marker for trisomy 18	
Chapter 10. S	Summary	
Table 10-1	. Prevalence of trisomy 18 by maternal age, at three selected	
	times in gestation	256
Table 10-2	. Modeled trisomy 18 detection rates (DR) and false positive rates (FPF	₹)
	using second trimester maternal serum markers at four	
	risk cut-offs	257
Table 10-3	. Modeling parameters for four second trimester maternal serum analyt	es in
	trisomy 18 and unaffected pregnancies	258
Table 10-4	. Modeled trisomy 18 detection rates (DR) and false positive rates	
	(FPR) using first trimester serum and ultrasound markers at	
	four risk cut-off levels	260
Table 10-5	. Modeling parameters for three first trimester maternal serum/ultras	ound
	markers (combined test) in trisomy 18 and unaffected pregnancies	260
Table 10-6	. Modeled trisomy 18 detection rates (DR) and false positive rates	
	(FPR) using serum integrated and full integrated testing,	
	at four risk cut-off levels	261

Abbreviations

AFP = alpha-fetoprotein

ASD = atrial septal defects

CPC = choroid plexus cysts

CVS = chorionic villus sampling

DNA = deoxyribonucleic acid

DR = detection rate (equivalent to sensitivity)

FISH = fluorescent in situ hybridization

FPR = false positive rate (equivalent to 1-specificity)

free β = the free beta subunit of hCG (hCG is composed of alpha and beta subunits)

hCG = human chorionic gonadotropin

log = base 10 logarithm

LR = likelihood ratio

MoM = multiple of the median

NB = nasal bone

NT = nuchal translucency

OAPR = odds of being affected given a positive result

ONTD = open neural tube defect

PAPP-A = pregnancy associated plasma protein A

PIV = pulsatility index for veins (in relation to ductus venosus)

PPV = positive predictive value (%)

qfPCR = quantitative polymerase chain reaction

RNA = ribonucleic acid

RR = relative risk

SD = standard deviation

SNP = single nucleotide repeat
STR = short tandem repeats
uE3 = unconjugated estriol

VSD = ventricular septal defects